

Statement and Conclusions Questions and Answers for SSC Exams Pdf

In each question below is given a statement followed by two conclusions numbered I and II. You have to assume everything in the statement to be true, then consider the two conclusions together and decide which of them logically follows beyond a reasonable doubt from the information given in the statement.

Question: 1

Statement :

A bird in hand is worth two in the bush.

Conclusions :

- I. We should be content with what we have.
- II. We should not crave for what is not.

- (A) if only conclusion I follows
- (B) if only conclusion II follows
- (C) if either I and II follows
- (D) if neither I nor II follows and
- (E) if both I and II follow

Ans: E

Both the given conclusions clearly bring out the central theme of the proverb given in the statement. So, both I and II follow.

Question: 2

Statement :

In a one day cricket match, the total runs made by a team were 200. Out of these 160 runs were made by spinners.

Conclusions :

- I. 80% of the team consists of spinners.
- II. The opening batsmen were spinners.

- (A) if only conclusion I follows
- (B) if only conclusion II follows
- (C) if either I and II follows
- (D) if neither I nor II follows and
- (E) if both I and II follow

Ans: D

According to the statement, 80% of the total runs were made by spinners. So, I does not follow. Nothing about the opening batsmen is mentioned in the statement. So, II also does not follow.

Question: 3

Statement :

In India, more emphasis should be placed on areas such as agriculture, engineering and technology instead of basic and pure sciences.

Conclusions :

- I. India has achieved sufficient progress in basic and pure sciences.
- II. In the past, the productivity factor in our economy was neglected.

- (A) if only conclusion I follows
- (B) if only conclusion II follows
- (C) if either I and II follows
- (D) if neither I nor II follows and
- (E) if both I and II follow

Ans: B

That more emphasis should be laid on productivity areas instead of sciences does not mean that the country has achieved sufficient progress in sciences. But it implies that productivity factor was previously being neglected. So, II follows while I does not.

Question: 4

Statement :

Quality has a price tag. India is allocating lots of funds to education.

Conclusions :

I. Quality of education in India would be improve soon.

II. Funding alone can enhance quality of education.

(A) if only conclusion I follows

(B) if only conclusion II follows

(C) if either I and II follows

(D) if neither I nor II follows and

(E) if both I and II follow

Ans: A

According to the statement, funding is necessary to improve quality and India is allocating funds to education. This means that quality of education will improve in India. So, I follows. But funding alone is sufficient to enhance quality, is not true. So, II does not follow.

Question: 5

Statement :

Vegetable prices are soaring in the market.

Conclusions :

I. Vegetables are becoming a rare commodity.

II. People cannot eat vegetables.

(A) if only conclusion I follows

(B) if only conclusion II follows

(C) if either I and II follows

(D) if neither I nor II follows and

(E) if both I and II follow

Ans: D

The availability of vegetables is not mentioned in the given statement. So, I does not follow.
Also, II is not directly related to the statement and so it also does not follow.

meritnotes.com